


Task Scheduling in Wireless Sensor Networks

Andrei Voinescu
Dan Ștefan Tudose
Nicolae Țăpuș

March 2010



Contents


- Goals
- Approach
- Problem Specifics
- Algorithms
- Related Work
- Hardware & Software Platform
- Conclusions

Goals

- Schedule tasks in a Wireless Sensor Network

- Tasks:

- Form an application
- Are repetitive
- Have inputs/outputs
- Are data centric
- May be only compatible to certain types of nodes



- Schedule must also make the application as a whole to run for as long as possible

Goals(II)

- WSNs don't require a traditional scheduling problem
 - Schedule isn't for distributing a large computation over many processing nodes
 - The aim is to optimize the data flow and processing, from source to sink
 - A more appropriate scheme would control data flow by assigning tasks to certain nodes
- Traditional scheduling algorithms are not applicable

Approach

- The schedule is in accordance with:
 - Data dependencies, as specified by a DAG (Directed Acyclic Graph)
 - Minimal network communication (to keep consumption low)
 - Task \leftrightarrow Node compatibility
- Our approach is to partition tasks from the DAG, each partition is associated with a node
- Two algorithms:
 - Polynomial, best solution – minimal communication between partitions
 - Approximative, within twice the optimal

Energy consumption in WSNs

- Dominant component is radio communication (1 CPU instruction uses 3 orders of magnitude less energy than transmitting a bit)
 - Approaches to reduce energy consumed:
 - Distance between sensors
 - The size of data transmitted
 - Radio module uptime
 - Software can only control the latter 2
 - Radio module activity is controlled by MAC layer
 - Higher level (our scheduler) is limited to minimizing the quantity of data transmitted over the network


Problem Specifics

- Our goal → Maximize Network Lifetime

$$\max_{k, m_k \in M} \frac{W_{m_k}}{P_{idle_{m_k}} + \sum_{i, v_i \in T(m_k)} (P_{rcv, v_i} + P_{tr, v_i})}$$


W_{m_k} – Total energy of node m_k P_{rcv, v_i} – power consumed by task v_i while receiving
 $P_{idle_{m_k}}$ – idle power of node m_k P_{tr, v_i} – power consumed by task v_i while transmitting

$T[m_k]$ – The set of tasks allocated to node m_k



Problem Specifics (II)

- The solution → find a partitioning of the set of tasks over existing nodes that minimizes communication taking into account the other constraints



Constraints

- Minimal communication between nodes
- Task compatibility with nodes
- Multiplicity of tasks in application:
 - On only one node
 - On all compatible nodes
 - On as many nodes as necessary


Partitioning - (s,t) Cut

- Partitioning (S,T) of a set of nodes in a graph G, such that:

$$G = (V, E), s \in S, t \in T, V = S \cup T, S \cap T = \emptyset$$

$$E' = \{(x, y) \in E, x \in S, y \in T\}, \quad \sum_{e \in E'} w(x, y) \text{ is minimal}$$

- Can be extended to multiple-source multiple-destination
- Equivalent to maxflow problem



Min k-cut

- Based on (s,t) cuts, with s,t as sets
 - Generates all set possibilities
 - s with k-1 elements if k is odd
 - s with k-2 otherwise
 - t with k-1 elements
 - An (s,t) is computed for each
 - The set that contains s is kept
 - The set that contains t is split into k-1 (min k-1)
- $O(n^{k^2}) \rightarrow$ polynomial, but large

Adapted min k-cut Algorithm

- Adaptation of Min k-cut
- Tasks with multiplicity must appear on all compatible nodes
- The set for the current component (first of the k in this recursive call) can only contain compatible tasks
- Recursive call with k-1

```
function AKCut( $V, k, m_i$ )
```

```
if k is even then
```

```
     $k' = k - 2$ 
```

```
else
```

```
     $k' = k - 1$ 
```

```
end if
```

```
MT  $\leftarrow$  tasks that have multiplicity
```

```
 $V' \leftarrow V - \text{MT}$ 
```

```
S  $\leftarrow$  the set of subsets of  $k'$  elements from  $V'$ 
```

```
T  $\rightarrow$  the set of subsets of  $k - 1$  elements from  $V' \cup \text{MT}$ 
```

```
Find  $s \in S, t \in T$  such that  $W(\text{cut}(s,t)) = \min$ 
```

```
/* cut(s,t) splits V into  $s'$  and  $t'$  */
```


```
/* Find the minimal cut(s,t) with maximal source set */
```

```
 $T(m_i) = \{s'\} \cup \{v_j | v_j \in \text{MT}, NA(v_j, m_i) = 1\}$ 
```

```
return  $T(m_i) \cup \text{AKCut}(V-s', k-1, m_{i+1})$ 
```

Approximation algorithm

- Gomory-Hu Trees:
 - Each edge has the weight of an (s,t) cut
- Elimination of the smallest $k-1$ edges
- At least k components are obtained
- (a,b) cut is the same as the smallest edge on chain between a and b



Related Work

■ EcoMaps

Similarities

- Application is divided into tasks
- Dependencies between tasks are marked by an Acyclic Directed Dependency Graph
- One of the constraints is energy




Differences

- Tasks are considered to be a part of a larger computation with a precise end, i.e. FFT
- Time constraint dominates energy consumption
- Simplified Communication model, with many single-hop clusters
- EcoMapS solves a more traditional scheduling problem

Software Platform: Contiki

- Built for wireless sensors
- Collaborative processes
 - Based on coroutines
- uIPv6 communications stack (6lowPan)
- Communication abstractization → protosockets
- Hardware abstraction layer

Hardware Platform



Conclusions

- Dependency graph is a good model for data dependencies between tasks
- Min k-cut offers minimal communication, but is not scalable
- Approximation algorithm good for practical purposes